



DYNAMIC SIMULATION WITH NON-LINEAR DAMPING DEVICES

Vincent LE GALLO, ArianeGroup, Les Mureaux, France Jacques MARCHESINI, INTES France Laurent DASTUGUE, INTES France



SOMMAIRE



- Objectives for Ariane Group
- INCAS : Collaborative R&D project
- Launcher application
- Modelling steps
- Simulation methods
- Practical examples
- Outlook & Conclusion



OBJECTIVES FOR ARIANE GROUP

- Payloads comfort is a crucial point for an efficient launcher
- Strong commercial argument in the increasing competitive market of the space access
- Needs to reduce/damp vibrations at low frequencies (5-100Hz)
- Two potential concepts :
 - Dedicated isolation function via a passive damping device (add-on solution) : Focus of this presentation
 - Components with integrated damping as "damping structure" (add-in solution)
- To reach higher levels of damping → needs to deal with non-linearities (friction, material, viscoelasticity)
- How to incorporate such non-linear devices in the launcher simulation frame ?







- **INCAS : INnovation de Concepts AttenuateurS** inovation of damping designs
- Vibration mastering for the comfort of sub-structures sensitive to low frequency vibrations
 - Significant deformation rate,
 - Difficulties in predicting dynamic behavior,
 - Dynamic improvement for more sensitive equipment.
- Objectives :
 - Develop technological solutions to reduce vibratory environments of embedded equipment by isolation and/or damping
 - Develop software tools to predict the dynamic behavior of the system taking into account nonlinearities.



LAUNCHER APPLICATION

Improve the vibratory low frequency environments of satellites on launcher (PID) :

• 2 to 4-fold reduction of vibratory environments at the payload level

Willingness to :

- > Develop passive damping devices (PID) for the upper part of the launcher in the short term.
 - ✓ Plug-in between the ACU (Payload adapter) and the lower component.
- > Remove longer-term vibration isolation systems and use a new class of damping materials.







LAUNCHER APPLICATION (2)

Two damping concepts for PID will be review

- Friction damping based (via cables)
- Material damping based (via elastomer)

Elastomer devices Friction devices



To be validated with **experimental tests** (unit level and full assembly level) and by **numerical simulations**





Experimental structure



THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE PROPERTY OF ARIANEGROUP. IT SHALL NOT BE COMMUNICATED TO ANY THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE OWNER'S WRITTEN CONSENT | ARIANEGROUP SAS – ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

MODELLING STEP

- F.E. model for the numerical benchmark
 - Around 300 000 elements, 400 000 nodes
 - Involving shell and solid elements,
 - Laminate material for the Payload adapter

- Two levels of idealization for the non-linear device
 - Local 3D (detailled) model,
 - Equivalent model with a 2 nodes structural element (CONTROL6).







From experimental tests, or theoretical datas, and numerical simulations with local 3D models, the laws of behavior (non-linearity and/or visco-elasticity) have to be derived for the equivalent model. It can be done using two ways :

 σ

σ

"Point-by-point" definition

MODELLING STEP (2)

- Could be a long and a low accurate process, •
- Difficulties to obtain the complete set of data, •
- Valid only in a particular context.

Rheological series ۲

- More robust, but need an identifaction process,
- Better flexibility facing a modification of the law of behavior,
- Need the building of a library of non-linear rheological series in **PERMAS** (via USER FUNCTIONs)
- Underlines the causal link.
- Better numerical stability.

 $\sigma_{\tau} = f(\epsilon_{e})$





Friction damper





SIMULATION METHODS

In the frame of dynamic launcher analysis, the modal methods with linear (FS) models are mainly used :

 Real Eigenmodes
 Friodic loads
 Harmonic solution

 [FSCoupled] VIBration ANalysis
 Periodic loads
 Harmonic solution

 Modal [FSCoupled] VIBration ANalysis
 Periodic loads
 Modal [FSCoupled] FREQuency

 Random loads
 Random response
 Modal [FSCoupled] RANDOM





SIMULATION METHODS (2)

Extension of the previous methods with non-linear devices







SIMULATION METHODS (3)

Validation of the results obtained by a modal method by a comparison with results from a direct analysis.

f1 and f2 are respectively the eigenfrequencies related to the first transversal and longitudinal mode. The excitation is a prescribed acceleration.



Many parameters have been checked : additional modes, time step, inner step, number of modes, modes damping, solver type.



Users' Conference

Stuttgart 2018

SIMULATION METHODS (4)

Validation of the results obtained by a modal method by a comparison with results from a direct analysis.

f1 and f2 are respectively the eigenfrequencies related to the first transversal and longitudinal mode. The excitation is a prescribed acceleration.



Many parameters have been checked : additional modes, time step, inner step, number of modes, modes damping, solver type.



Users' Conference

Stuttgart 2018

SIMULATION METHODS (5)

Some hints :



- Linearization point of non-linear device is needed for the real eigenmode calculation
- Modal truncation effect
- Non-linear dofs have to represented in the modal basis

Modal methods are well adapted for a moderate number of non-linear dofs :

- Linear model with discrete non-linearities modelled by specific elements (NLSTIFF, NLDAMP, CONTROL6)
- Reduced response (PRIMRES = REDUCED) are available which reduces drastically the runtime and the diskspace
- Extension to a coupled fluid structure problem remains avalaible in PERMAS (mandatory for launcher simulation !)

For time history simulations, the current modal integration method is based on a fixe time increment which is not always adapted for strong nonlinearities.

The direct solutions would be more efficient in case of large number of modes and/or non-linear-dofs.





PRACTICAL EXAMPLES

The dynamic responses of the witness point (center of the interface between the payload and the payload adapter) permit to underline the effect of the use of non-linear PID.



Users' Conference

PRACTICAL EXAMPLES



Dahl friction model :

(Dahl P.R., « A solid friction model », The Aerospace Corporation, El-Secundo, California, 19

With σ the initial tangential stiffness, Fc the maximal friction force and x the displacement.



Users' Conference

Stuttgart 2018



OUTLOOK & CONCLUSION

- Reducing vibrations is a general topic, interesting for launcher application and other branches of industry as well.
- Dedicated function via discrete damping devices (PID).
- Higher damping effect with the non-linear behavior (elastomer or friction).
- Demonstration and design of such concepts in progress via experimental tests (unit level and full assembly level) and numerical simulations (optimization).
- The analysis of the vehicle dynamic behavior with discrete non-linearities will be the key point in the launcher simulation frame.
- PERMAS provides standard solutions for time history analysis with discrete non-linearities based on modal or direct integrations.
- For frequency responses, the Harmonic Balance Method is currently evaluated via an APC prototype.



